DECLARATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first an inventor (if plural names are listed on the invention entitled <u>ELECTR</u>	below) of the subject mat	ter which is claimed and for whic	original, firs h a patent _,	st and join is sought	
the specification of which					
(Check One): X is attached was filed o				as	
Application	Serial No				
and was amended on (if applicable)					
I hereby state that I have reviewed claims, as amended by any amen which is material to the patentability. 1.56 printed on the reverse side of States Code §119 of any foreign identified below any foreign application on which priority is claim	dment(s) referred to about this application. I hereby application for patent attorn for patent or invent.	ove. I acknowledge the duty to ordance with Title 37, Code of Forth y claim foreign priority benefits up or inventor's certificate listed by	disclose in ederal Regulation edow and	nformation ulations, § 35, United	
Application No.	Country	Date of Filing	Priority	Claimed	
			Yes	No	
None					
			<u>L</u>	<u></u>	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, § 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, § 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1.56 which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

Application No.	Date of Filing	Status-Patented, Pending or Abandoned
None		

APPLICABLE STATUTES & RULES

37 CFR 1.56: DUTY TO DISCLOSE INFORMATION MATERIAL To PATENTABILITY.

(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best socied, and the most effective and the
(a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office of the information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of
a patent application has a duty of captor and good faith in decline with the offing of an internation material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filling and prosecution of
to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any existing claim. The
by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by so 1.97(b) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the
Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
/1/ prior od sited in annual of the control of the

- prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and (2)
 - the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- Under this section information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and (b)
 - It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
- It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in;
 (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) (3) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
 - Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor. (d)

35 U.S.C. 102: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NOVELTY AND LOSS OF RIGHT TO PATENT

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless-

- the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or 1)
- the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the invention was patented or determine the date of the application for patent in the United States, or (b)
- he has abandoned the invention, or
- (d) the invention was first patented or caused to be patented, or was the subject or an invention's certificate, by the application for patent in this country on an application for patent or inventor's certificate filed more than twelve months before the filing of the
- the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for (e) The investment was described in a patent granted on an application by another mad in the office of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or
 - he did not himself invent the subject matter sought to be patented, or
- before the applicant's invention thereof the invention was made in this country by another who had not abandoned, suppressed, or concealed it. In determining (g) before the applicant's invention mereor the invention was made in this country by arbitrary and about the considered not only the respective dates of conception and reduction to practice of the invention, but also the reasonable diligence of one who was first to

្ទឹ្ធ្វី35 U.S. C. 103: CONDITIONS FOR PATENTABILITY; NON-OBVIOUS SUBJECT MATTER

A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negative by the manner in which the invention was made.

Subject matter developed by another person, which qualifies as prior art only under subsection (f) or (g) of section 102 of this title, shall not preclude patentability under this section where the subject matter and the claimed invention were, at the time the invention was made, owned by the same person or subject to an obligation of assignment to the same

person.

ੂੰਜ਼ ਤੇ5 U.S.C. 119: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN FOREIGN COUNTY; RIGHT OF PRIORITY (Applicable Portion)

An application for patent for an invention filed in this country by any person who has, or whose legal representatives or assigns have, previously regularly filed an application for a patent for the same invention in a foreign county which affords similar privileges in the case of applications filed in the United States or to citizens of the United States, shall have the same effect as the same application would have if filed in this county on the date on which the application for patent for the same invention was first filed in such foreign county, if the application in this county is filed within twelve months from the earliest date on which such foreign application was filed; but no patent shall be granted on any application for a patent for an invention which has been patented or described in a printed publication in any country more than one year before the date of the actual filing of the application in this country, or which had been in public use or on sale in this country more than one year prior to such filing.

35 U.S.C. 120: BENEFIT OF EARLIER FILING DATE IN THE UNITED STATES

An application for patent for an invention disclosed in the manner provided by the first paragraph of section 112 of this title in an application previously filed in the United States, or as provided by section 363 of this title, by the same invention shall have the same effect, as to such invention, as though filed on the date of the prior application, if filed before the patenting or abandonment of or termination of proceedings on the first application or on an application similarly entitled to the benefit of the filing date of the first application and if it contains or is amended to contain a specific reference to the earlier filed application.

35 U.S.C. 112: SPECIFICATION (Applicable Portion)

The Specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the making and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enabler any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make the use the same, and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctive claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

SIGNATURES

Full name of first inventor: Glen J. Anderson	
Inventor's signature <u>Me Auderse</u>	<u> </u>
Date	Country of Citizenship United States of America
Residence Sioux City, IA	
Post Office Address 3034 Pierce Street, Sioux City, IA 51104	

Full name of second joint inventor: Russell F. Mcknight	-
Inventor's signature Junior & McCart	
Date 8/23/01	Country of Citizenship United States of America
Residence Sioux City, IA	
Post Office Address <u>3014 Nebraska Street, Sioux City, IA 51</u>	104
] . . L	

the con concess of the concess of th



Docket No. 450.330US1 GW 2352

POWER OF ATTORNEY

GATEWAY, INC., Assignee(s) of the application for	United States Letters Patent for		
ELECTRONIC RETAIL O	RDER COMMUNICATION		
	(Title)		
by Glen J. Anderson and			
	(Inventors)		
X executed on the date(s) as Indicat	ted on the corresponding Declaration and As	ssignment therein, or	
having Serial No, file	ed,		
a copy of the Assignment of which is attached the substitution and revocation, to prosecute this appropriate therewith:	nereto, do(es) hereby appoint as attorney plication and transact all business in the	s of record with full power o Patent and Trademark Office	
Mark S. Walker, Reg. No. 30,699	1		
Kenneth J. Cool, Reg. No. 40,570	•		
Vivian S. Shin, Reg. No. 43,919	•		
A-II- Mi-book (Don No 24 046	Bianchi, Timothy E., Reg. No. 39	610	
Anglin, Michael J., Reg. No. 24,916 Billion, Richard E., Reg. No. 32,836	Brennan, Leoniede M., Reg No.		
Drake, Eduardo E., Reg. No. 40,594	Dahl, John M., Reg. No. 44,639		
Forrest, Bradley A., Reg. No. 30,837	Gamon, Owen J., Reg. No. 36,14		
Maki, Peter C., Reg. No. 42,832	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I., Reg.	Klima-Silberg, Catherine I., Reg. No. 40,052	
McCrackin, Ann M., Reg. No. 42,858		Lacy, Rodney L., Reg. N. 41,136	
Steffey, Charles E., Reg. No. 25,179	Lemaire, Charles A., Reg. No. 36	Lemaire, Charles A., Reg. No. 36,198	
Smith, Michael G., Reg. No. 45,368 Address correspondence to: Gateway, Inc.	Schwegman, Micheal L., Reg. No.	0. 25,810	
	to execute this Power of Attorney on behale by reason of an assignment being filed with the § 3.373(b), I certify that I have reviewed all dinterest is in the above-identified Assigned true and that all statements made on information with the knowledge that willful false states a section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States.	the Patent Office for recordation documents in the chain of title se, and I further declare that all nation and belief are believed to ments and the like so made are	
Full Name of Assignee GATEWAY	, INC.		
	Court, San Diego, CA 92121-3030		
Signature of Declarant or Assignee	lur_	Date 8-30-07	
Full Name of Declarant If Other Than Assignee Mark S. Wa	alker, Reg. No. 30,699		
Title of Declarant Group Couns	el, Intellectual Property		
Address of Declarant 4545 Towns	Centre Court, San Diego, CA 92121-3030		